UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CRIMINAL NO. H-16-408 Houston, Texas June 21, 2021 **VERSUS** 4 5 ANDREW IAN FARMER 10:45 a.m. 6 SENTENCING 7 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VANESSA D. GILMORE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 8 9 For the Government: 10 Mr. Justin R. Martin 11 U.S. Attorney's Office 1000 Louisiana Street 12 Suite 2300 13 Houston, Texas 77002 14 For the Defendant: 15 16 Mr. Gerald Robert Fry Attorney at Law 405 Main Street 17 Suite 602 Houston, Texas 77002 18 19 Court Reporter: 20 Fred Warner 21 Official Court Reporter 515 Rusk Avenue 22 Houston, Texas 77002 23 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, produced by 24 computer-aided transcription. 25

```
THE COURT: United States of America versus Andrew
 1
2
   Farmer.
                  For the United States?
 3
             MR. MARTIN:
                          Justin Martin.
 4
            THE COURT: Mr. Martin.
5
                  For the defendant.
6
                      Gerald Fry for the defendant, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT:
8
                         Mr. Fry.
9
                  Is that Mr. Farmer? Come on up to the table at
10
           Come to the table, yes. Everybody needs to be by a
11
   microphone somewhere. Mr. Farmer, you can get that mic on
   the other side there.
12
                          There you go.
                  Can you identify yourself for the record,
13
   please.
14
15
            THE DEFENDANT:
                             Andrew Ian Farmer.
16
             THE COURT: We are here this morning for sentencing.
17
                  Mr. Martin, has the United States had a chance
18
   to review the presentence investigation report, the addendum
19
   and the second addendum to that report?
20
            MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor, I have.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
21
                          I was going to say no objection.
22
            MR. MARTIN:
23
             THE COURT: Mr. Fry, have you and your client had a
24
   chance to review the presentence investigation report, the
25
   addendum and the second addendum to that report?
```

MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then the presentence investigation report and the addendum will be placed into the record under seal. In the event that there is any appeal of this case, the only portion that will not be disclosed will be that portion that contains a sentencing recommendation from the probation department to the Court.

There are no objections by the United States.

There was one objection that had been raised by the defendant. The defendant objected to the calculations listed in paragraph number -- let me sure it's the right paragraph number still. It's still 134. That indicated that the maximum term of imprisonment was 120 months.

I guess the motion was based on the fact that it was a five-year statutory range of imprisonment, and the defendant believed that that paragraph should have reflected a maximum of 60 months instead of 120 months. But the reason that it's not is because the guideline range is what guides the Court's calculation in that regard, and the calculation under the guidelines at 38.1 is 235 to 293 months. And so in that situation the Court can stack the sentences; but the maximum, of course, would be the 120, which would be the statutory maximum of five years for each count. So that information contained in paragraph 134, indicating that the maximum term of imprisonment is 120 months, is actually

```
1
   correct, Mr. Fry.
                       Do you see what happened there?
2
             MR. FRY:
                       I do, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                  So the objection is overruled.
 4
5
                  Mr. Fry, were there any other factual
   inaccuracies in the report that could have an impact on
6
7
   sentencing?
8
             MR. FRY:
                       No, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: Okay. Then the Court adopts the
10
   presentence investigation report and the addendum, finds that
11
   the statutory range of punishment on each of Counts 1SSS and
   2SSS is not more than five years, supervised release on both
13
   counts not more than three years. The fine on Count 1SSS is
   not more than $100,091,955.30, which is twice the pecuniary
14
15
   loss.
16
                  On Count 2SSS not more than $250,000.
17
   Restitution is $8,092,738.49.
                                   Special assessment is $100 per
18
   count for a total of $200.
19
                  Under the sentence guidelines, based on a total
20
   offense level of 38 and a criminal history category of 1
21
   provides for a guideline range of 235 to 293 months, which is
22
   capped at 120 months pursuant to 5G1.1A.
23
                  Supervised release term is one to three years.
24
                  The fine range is 50,000 to $100,341,955.30.
25
   Restitution is $8,092,738.49. Special assessment is $200.
```

The United States has filed a motion for 1 2 downward departure under 5K1.1 making a specific 3 recommendation for the departure, and the Court will take that into consideration. It grants the motion for downward 4 departure and will take the government's recommendation into 5 6 consideration in determining what it believes to be the appropriate sentence in this case. 7 8 Mr. Fry, would you like to speak on behalf of 9 your client, please? MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Yes, please. We would ask the Court, in addition to the 12 MR. FRY: government's recommendation, to consider a probated sentence. 13 THE COURT: A probated sentence? 14 15 MR. FRY: Yes, Your Honor. 16 And one of the reasons -- the reason, I will 17 list a couple of those, Your Honor, if I may. 18 THE COURT: Okav. 19 MR. FRY: One is, he's been on pretrial release for 20 five years now, has not violated conditions that's listed in the presentence report. He's had a monitor. So he's been 21 like under probation for five years. And during that time 22 23 he's completely cooperated with the government and debriefed many, many, many times and helped them. 24 25 THE COURT: So what, you think everything should

```
just get probation in this case?
1
2
            MR. FRY:
                      No.
 3
            THE COURT: Only Mr. Farmer?
            MR. FRY: Well, under certain circumstances people
 4
   ought to be considered for probation. I think he's reformed
5
6
   himself in the five years. He is now working --
7
            THE COURT: Wait, wait, stop.
                                                  I need an
8
   answer to my question, because he is not getting less time
9
   than all these other people. So you're trying to say that
10
   everybody in this whole case involving over a
11
   fifty-million-dollar loss should get probation?
12
            MR. FRY:
                       I can't speak for the other people, Your
13
   Honor.
14
            THE COURT: Well, you know that one of the factors
   that the Court has to consider is the relative culpability of
15
16
   this defendant versus the other, what is it, five other
17
   defendants in this case in making it a sentence that doesn't
18
   have unwarranted sentencing disparity, so it is something
19
   that I actually have to take into consideration.
20
   assumed you probably thought about that in the context of
21
   asking me for a probated sentence?
22
                       I think that also if he was on
            MR. FRY:
23
   probation -- he's working now at legitimate employment.
24
   working at a flower company with 30 people under him.
                                                           If he
   was on the five years, he could make restitution payments to
25
```

pay back the victims at a higher rate now than if he goes into the penalty, comes out and then has to find a job. So, he -- and I understand the Court's position succinctly. I just want the Court to at least consider probation. He's been five years on probation -- on pretrial release, no violations, he's had a monitored. He's assured me that if he is granted a five-year probated sentence he could abide by any conditions that the Court puts on him.

His family of three children and his wife depend on him for their support, and that would greatly hurt them if he was not able to continue his employment. So we would ask the Court to consider it. And I understand the Court's position as it pertains to other defendants.

I would ask that if the Court does not consider probation that the lower, the Court lowers it to two, five-year sentences running concurrent, two 60-month sentences running concurrent. If the Court has the ability to run it concurrent, we would ask for two, 60-month sentences to run concurrent.

And we would also ask that he be allowed to self report if he has to go into custody instead of being taken into custody today, and we would ask that the Court recommend a penitentiary close to Houston where his family is.

THE COURT: Okay.

```
MR. FRY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
 1
2
            THE COURT: Mr. Farmer, would you like to say
3
   anything in your own behalf, please, sir?
            THE DEFENDANT:
                             I would, Your Honor.
 4
5
            THE COURT: Yes, please.
6
            THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to start by saying that I
   am very sorry for the people that I hurt through this scheme.
7
8
   I knew at the time that it was morally wrong and ethically
9
           I did not appreciate the criminal context of what we
10
   were doing as it appeared to be at the time.
11
            THE COURT: What does that mean you didn't
12
   appreciate it? I got the list of the victims on this
13
   spreadsheet. I don't remember what the total number was.
   What's the total number of victims that I have? I don't even
14
          What's the total number?
15
   know.
16
            MR. MARTIN:
                          It's over 2,000.
17
            THE COURT:
                         Okay. 2,000 victims.
18
            MR. MARTIN:
                          And those are just the ones that were
   identified.
19
                        That came forward, with $8 million in
20
            THE COURT:
21
   losses.
22
                 Tell me what you mean when you say you didn't
23
   appreciate the severity of what you were doing.
24
                             I understood at the time that what
            THE DEFENDANT:
25
   we were doing was wrong in that we were inducing people to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other six?

```
buy stocks that had very little or no future.
                                               However, I
took the counsel of Mr. Austin that it was not criminal in
        And that if I had understood the criminal
nature.
ramifications of our actions, I would like to say that I
would have not continued in the organization and would not
have let Mr. Austin begin the basic group to start with.
         THE COURT:
                     So basically this fell into the category
of there's a sucker born every minute, and if they think
they're going to make some money, that's on them, huh?
         THE DEFENDANT:
                        I'm not proud of saying it, but,
yes, that was the intent of the scheme.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
              So in terms of your relative culpability
vis-a-vis the other six -- well, how many is it, one, two,
three, four, five, six people that I have to sentence in this
case.
       I think I have sentenced one already, Grob.
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         I think it was two, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Grob. And I think I might have
sentenced Austin.
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         Grob.
         THE COURT: Brotherton and Grob. I still have the
others ones left to sentence.
              So relative to their involvement in this
scheme, how do you rate your relative culpability to these
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe that Mr. Austin, Mr. THE DEFENDANT: Brotherton, Mr. Sieck and myself share an equal level of culpability as partners making partnership decisions as to the scheme that we perpetrated and how it would be carried out. I believe that Mr. Massey shares a less culpability because he was an employee and not a partner. And I must be honest. I believe Mr. Grob bears no culpability whatsoever. THE COURT: Ms. Who? THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Grob. THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Grob. THE DEFENDANT: If at any point Mr. Grob had been represented by counsel that had not been paid for by the group and instructed on their plan by Mr. Austin, I think if any independent counsel could have gotten to Mr. Grob, he's not at fault. THE COURT: Who was the other person you said was just an employee? THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Massey. THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Massey. THE DEFENDANT: But I don't want to in any way lessen my responsibility. I am 100 percent responsible for my actions and the actions of everybody that worked for us, the actions of everybody who contracted for us and even the

actions of my partners. At any point in time I could have and should have walked away or stopped.

THE COURT: The reason I am asking, of course, you heard me have this conversation with your counsel. He is asking for probation, and I have got all these other people yet to sentence. I have only sentenced one or two out of the other six people that I still need to sentence in this case. And so, obviously I can't have an unwarranted sentencing disparity between you and these other people, and so that's the reason that I was asking the question. And Mr. Brotherton has already been sentenced to a term of 60 months custody in this case, someone, as you say, you at least have equal culpability with in terms of your actions.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I don't argue with that.

THE COURT: Anything else you want to say?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to say that over the past five years I have worked very hard to change who I am. I have opened a business for myself making furniture selling \$5,000 tables. I am gainfully employed now at the largest flower shop in the Houston Metro area. I am director of operations with them. I have 30 people that work under me.

THE COURT: Is that your own business or are you

```
working for somebody else?
 1
            THE DEFENDANT: I am working for somebody else.
 2
                                I was a little unclear. I wasn't
 3
             THE COURT: Okay.
 4
   sure.
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I'm sorry, Your Honor.
 5
6
   furniture thing was my business.
 7
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
 8
             THE DEFENDANT: And the flower shop thing is a local
   business.
 9
             THE COURT: And then in the last five years you've
10
11
   had a couple more kids, I see.
             THE DEFENDANT: I have one additional child.
12
13
             THE COURT: Oh, because this report is dated 2020.
            THE DEFENDANT:
14
                             2011 was the --
15
            THE COURT: How old are your kids?
16
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             10, 6 and 4.
17
             THE COURT: Oh, my goodness. Time has gone by.
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it has, Your Honor.
18
19
                  Anyway, the point was that I've done a lot to
20
   come to what I am now. And it was not easy to find an
   employer that was going to overlook -- not overlook,
21
22
   understand my situation and allow me to use the skills I do
23
   have to become the member of society, or the citizen, I
24
   guess, that society should rightfully expect me to be.
25
             THE COURT: All right.
```

Anything else from the United States?

MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor.

In fairness to Mr. Farmer, Brotherton's sentence was five years, but he didn't get a 5K; but he was, in my view, less culpable than Mr. Farmer, which is why even with the 5K I am still recommending a sentence of 72 months. I think that fairly reflects his culpability vis-a-vis these other defendants. And certainly I don't think a sentence of probation is appropriate when Mr. Grob got -- when Mr. Grob got a sentence of imprisonment I believe of 12 months and he was the least culpable, I don't think a sentence of probation would be appropriate here.

Mr. Farmer did meet with us many times, and he was very forthcoming in my judgment, once he decided to cooperate. He, of course, decided to cooperate after some of the other defendants, which I believe gives them a reason to take into consideration that Mr. Farmer should get a higher sentence than those defendants should get. So I think the Court should take that into consideration as well. So my recommendation is 72 months.

THE COURT: All right.

The Court will state the sentence at this time.

The lawyers will have a final opportunity to make any objections before the sentence is finally imposed.

It is the judgment of this Court that the

defendant, Andrew Ian Farmer, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 60 months as to Count 1SSS and 12 months as to Count 2SSS to run consecutively for a total term of imprisonment of 72 months.

The defendant is before this Court for sentencing as a result of his conviction for conspiracy to commit wire fraud, that is Count 1SSS, and securities fraud, Count 2SSS. Farmer was a partner and considered the primary leader of the group. He had a major role in the group in making business decisions, including hiring, firing, approving of business expenses, creating and approving the story arc for each new company. Farmer was a member of the conspiracy from the group's founding in or about May of 2011 to the end of the conspiracy, about May of 2017.

His primary responsibility was setting up each company whose stock was going to used in each pump and dump scheme. Farmer was responsible for distributing the proceeds of the fraud to the other partners and paying the group's employees. Farmer funded the group's business expenses using his credit card and is responsible for defrauding investors of the total loss of \$50,045,977.65.

Farmer is held accountable for all acts and omissions of others that were within the scope of the jointly undertaken criminal activity in furtherance of that criminal

activity and reasonably foreseeable in connection with the criminal activity that occurred during the commission of the offense of conviction in preparation for that offense or in the course of attempting to avoid detection or responsibility for that offense.

The offense involved a violation of a prior specific judicial administrative order, injunction, decree or process not addressed elsewhere in the guidelines, and the offense involved sophisticated means of conduct such as hiding assets and transactions with the use of fictitious entities, corporate shells, offshore financial accounts, and that ordinarily indicates sophisticated means. Farmer is also deemed to be the organizer/leader in the criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive.

The defendant does not have any prior convictions. His total offense level is 38, criminal history of 1, which establishes the guideline range of 235 to 293 months. However, the statutory maximum is 120 months. Additionally, the defendant was granted a 5K by the government with a recommendation that the defendant be sentenced to a term of 72 months.

The Court believes that a sentence of 72 months is appropriate when considering the defendant's relative culpability as it relates to the other co-defendants in this

case, one of whom has already been sentenced to 60 months, and that it takes into consideration the sentencing objectives of punishment, deterrence and incapacitation and is sufficient but not greater than necessary to punish the defendant for the crimes committed in this case.

Upon release from imprisonment the defendant shall be placed on supervision, supervised release for a term of three years as to each of Counts 1SSS and 2SSS, to run concurrently for a total term of supervision of three years. A three-year term of supervision is imposed in order to protect the public and to ensure that restitution payments will be made.

Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons the defendant shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released. Following supervised release the defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court, any additional conditions required by law and the following mandatory conditions:

The defendant may not incur any new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without approval of the probation office. You must submit to substance abuse testing to determine if you have used a prohibited substance, and you must pay the cost of testing if financially able to do so. You may not obstruct or tamper with any testing

methods.

The defendant is prohibited from employment or acting in a fiduciary role during the term of supervision.

The defendant must pay to the United States a special assessment of \$200, due and payable immediately.

The Court finds that the defendant does not have the financial ability to pay a fine within the guideline range in addition to the restitution amount, and the fine is waived in this case.

The defendant is ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$8,092,738.49 to the victims identified in the document that has been filed of record with the Court as Instrument No. 527, which was filed on 6-17-21, which contains a list of some 2,000 victims of this scheme that have reported restitution owed in that amount.

The payment of the criminal monetary penalties are due as follows: \$200 due and payable immediately, any unpaid balance due in installments of \$25 dollars per quarter or 50 percent of any wages earned while in prison. Any balance remaining after release from imprisonment shall be paid in monthly installments of no less than \$800 per month to commence 30 days after release to a term of supervision. Payments are to be made through the United States District Clerk's office, Southern District of Texas.

Mr. Martin, do you know of any reason why the

1 sentence should not be imposed as stated? 2 MR. MARTIN: Just a couple of matters, Your Honor. 3 We are requesting an additional condition of supervised release because there is an ongoing matter which 4 could potentially extend --5 6 THE COURT: But what does that have to do with me? Isn't that just part of y'all's plea agreement? If you think 7 8 that it's not being complied with, what am I supposed to do, 9 revoke him? 10 MR. MARTIN: The plea agreement ends today. And so 11 we are asking for the supervised release to create a --12 THE COURT: Create a what? How does that work 13 exactly? Tell me how you envision that in your mind. 14 didn't get it. I heard about it. I didn't get a copy of whatever it was that you sent to whoever you sent it to. 15 16 MR. MARTIN: Well, just that if he is released from 17 prison and the matter was still ongoing. 18 THE COURT: Let me see what it is. I didn't get it. 19 Let me look at it. I don't know who you sent it to. Who did 20 you send that to? 21 Tell me how that works in your division, 22 because I have never done this before, so I am trying to 23 envision what you think. 24 It says you have to fully cooperate with the 25 United States. So like you call us up and say, he is not

```
being cooperative. Why don't you revoke him on that basis,
 1
   or how does that work?
2
3
             MR. MARTIN: It would be up to you to decide whether
   to revoke him on that basis.
4
5
             THE COURT:
                         It would be up to me to decide whether
6
   or not he was cooperating with you or not cooperating with
7
   you?
8
             MR. MARTIN:
                          Well, I mean, I would file a motion
9
   saying he was not cooperating, and then it would be up to you
   to decide whether that warranted revocation or not.
10
11
             THE COURT:
                         Tell me when you have done this before.
                          I have never done it before.
             MR. MARTIN:
12
13
             THE COURT:
                         Me either.
             MR. MARTIN:
                          It's being requested by another
14
15
   district.
16
             THE COURT:
                         Pardon me?
17
             MR. MARTIN:
                          It's being requested in another
18
   district, and they have requested this language.
19
             THE COURT:
                         By "another district," where this charge
20
   is pending?
21
             MR. MARTIN:
                          Where the matter is pending.
22
             THE COURT:
                         What's pending?
23
             MR. MARTIN:
                          An investigation that he is cooperating
   with.
24
25
             THE COURT:
                         So where he has potential charges facing
```

```
him in that?
 1
2
            MR. MARTIN:
                          No.
                               He's cooperating against other
   individuals.
3
            THE COURT:
                        Oh, against other individuals.
4
                                                         Not a
   case involving him.
5
6
            THE DEFENDANT:
                             Your Honor, if I may.
7
            THE COURT:
                         Not a case involving any activity on his
8
   part?
9
            MR. MARTIN:
                          Correct, Your Honor.
            THE COURT:
10
                         Okay. I just wanted to make sure that I
11
   understood what you were talking about.
                 Who was that? Mr. Farmer.
12
13
            THE DEFENDANT: If I may. I have no objection to
14
   it.
        I have been cooperating. I intend to continue to
15
               I am not fighting this particular language.
   cooperate.
                                                             This
16
   case is in two other districts. And I intend to continue
17
   cooperating with Mr. Martin and the other attorneys, if that
18
   makes a difference in what they're trying to do. I am not
19
   objecting to it.
20
            THE COURT:
                        So you are saying it's not going to be
21
         It's going to be some other AUSA in some other district
22
   that's going to call up and say, hey, he is not cooperating
23
   with us the way he is supposed to.
24
                          He would have to call me and then I
            MR. MARTIN:
25
   would have to file a motion and then it would be up to you to
```

decide whether it warranted revocation or not. 1 2 THE COURT: I think I am going to take a pass on 3 that. What else? 4 MR. MARTIN: And then the only other issue is I 5 6 submitted a proposed order that imposes the money judgment. 7 THE COURT: I got that. I got those to do Right. 8 in a minute. 9 Anything else with respect to the sentence? MR. MARTIN: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: 11 Okay. 12 Mr. Fry, do you know of any reason why the 13 sentence should not be imposed as stated? I do not, Your Honor. 14 MR. FRY: 15 THE COURT: Then the sentence is imposed as stated. 16 Mr. Farmer, you can appeal your conviction if 17 you think that your guilty plea is somehow unlawful or 18 involuntary or if you think that there was some other 19 fundamental defect in the proceeding that was not waived by 20 you. However, a defendant can waive -- wasn't there a waiver in this case? 21 22 MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor. The defendant can waive those 23 THE COURT: Yes. rights as part of a plea agreement in this case. 24 In this 25 case you waived your right to appeal. That waiver is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

generally enforceable. If you think your waiver is unenforceable for some reason, you can present that theory to the Court of Appeals. And if you are without funds to hire an attorney to represent you, you can apply to the Court for in forma pauperis status and counsel will be appointed for With few exceptions any notice of appeal must be filed you. within 15 days of the date that judgment is being entered in this case. Do you understand me? I do, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Part of the plea agreement involved an order for the imposition of a money judgment at sentencing. That was unopposed, correct, Mr. Fry? MR. FRY: Yes. THE COURT: Then the Court is signing the order imposing a money judgment in the amount of \$6 million. The United States has also moved to dismiss the remaining counts of the indictment pursuant to the plea The Court has signed an order dismissing the agreement. remaining counts of the indictment. Mr. Martin, anything else? MR. MARTIN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Fry, anything else? MR. FRY: Just for --THE COURT: Oh, I forgot. Give me one second. I'm

```
sorry, Mr. Fry.
 1
                  The defendant is on bond, been on bond.
2
 3
                  Mr. Farmer, have you had any new law violations
   since you have been on bond?
4
             THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, the only violation I had
5
   was I got a speeding ticket when I left here after getting my
6
   ankle monitor removed.
7
8
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
9
                  Does the United States have any objection to
   the defendant remaining on bond pending designation?
10
             MR. MARTIN:
                          No, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: All right.
12
13
                  Was there anything else, Mr. Fry? Excuse me.
14
   Go ahead, sir.
15
            MR. FRY:
                       I would ask permission to withdraw from
   this case at this time, Your Honor.
16
17
             THE COURT: Okay. I have got two things. You know
18
   what else I forgot, something else you already asked me to
        It's the further recommendation of this Court that the
19
   do.
20
   defendant be incarcerated as close to Houston as possible.
21
                  Wasn't there one more thing that you asked me,
22
   Mr. Fry? I forgot.
23
             MR. FRY: No.
                           That was it. Report on his own and
   be incarcerated close near here.
24
25
            THE COURT: And the defendant be allowed to self
```

```
surrender. And he'll be allowed to self surrender.
1
2
                  Now, Mr. Fry. I'm sorry. I just keep
   forgetting stuff.
3
            MR. FRY: May I have permission to withdraw from the
4
   case?
5
6
            THE COURT: All right. You will. Why don't you
7
   send me an order so that I can have it of record.
            MR. FRY: I will, Your Honor.
8
9
            THE COURT: And I will sign that as soon as you send
   it to me.
10
11
                  Anything else? Nothing else?
            MR. FRY: No, Your Honor.
12
13
            THE COURT: Thank you. You all are excused.
            THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
            MR. FRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17
                  (Conclusion of proceedings)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION 1 2 3 4 I, Fred Warner, Official Court Reporter for the 5 6 United States District Court for the Southern District of 7 Texas, Houston Division, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 through 24 are a true and correct transcript of the 8 proceedings had in the above-styled and numbered cause before 9 the Honorable VANESSA D. GILMORE, United States District 10 11 Judge, on the 21st day of June, 2021. WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND at my office in Houston, 12 13 Harris County, Texas on this the 30th day of June, A.D., 2021. 14 15 16 17 18 19 /s/ Fred Warner Fred Warner, CSR Official Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24 25